Hathersage Parish Council

**Planning Committee**

Minutes of the Planning Committee Meeting held on 21st September 2016.

|  |
| --- |
| Present: Cllrs J Marsden; H Rodgers; B Gordon |
| In attendance: C Wilkinson (Asst Clerk ); P Cooke; J Sullivan; G Smith; M Weatherall; R Barratt |
| 054/16 |  | Apologies: B Hanley |
| 055/16 |  | No variations  |
| 056/16 |  | No interests declared. |
| 057/16 |  | Public Participation. The chair thanked the members of public for attending to share their opinions regarding the proposed amendment to the mast design at Brookfield Drive. The height of the mast is the same in the new proposal, it may be a more open design but it will still be as obtrusive and has potential for more equipment to be added to it. The top of the mast will still be in line with the ground floor windows of the properties on Park Edge. Walking across the field gives a full perspective of what will be seen. The objections are the same as 3 years ago, there doesn't appear to be a proper consultation plan or reassurances that this will happen. There is no information about other sites that may have been considered, or reasons why this site has been chosen. No information is provided regarding the technical needs and whether this site alone meets them. The mast will be substantially above the tree line, all eyes will be drawn to it from Stanage and Surprise. The proposal flies against everything in landscaping terms within the Peak District, Hathersage is quintessentially a gritstone village. The mast will be 8 metres to the garden wall of the nearest property and 16 metres from the house. It is 30 metres to Cliffe Cottage ( listed cottage); 50 metres to the Environmental Study Centre which provides overnight accommodation for children; 60 metres from the Presbytery and Church, both listed buildings. There are concerns that space on the mast could be sold to other providers. Would it need lights for aircraft warnings? Mast normally send signals over properties, this one would be going through residential properties. Has the sewage works site been considered. That is not a focal point of the village. |
| 058/16 |  | The Minutes of 15th August 2016 had been circulated and were approved and signed by the meeting Chair.  |
| 059/16 | 1. | HPC are unanimously opposed to this proposal. It is totally in the wrong location, and will become a dominant feature set in the focal point of the village visible from every approach. The Planning Committee would like more information on the Technical Parameters of this and other sites that have been considered. It feels like a choice of convenience to the Company but not the best choice for the village. The 'mast' design at Leadmill has become part of the scenery unnoticed by most people.  |
| 059/16 | 2. | To consider Planning Application NP/DDD/0916/0896 1 Downing Row, demolition and replacement of single storey annexes. There are no objections to this application. The existing annexes look like attachments, the proposal is in keeping with the property and an improvement on the present structure.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | Page 1 of 2 Chair's signature......................................................... |
|  |  |  |
| 060/16 |  | No Planning Applications granted or refused.  |
| 061/15 |  | No items for next meeting |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | The meeting closed at 20.25Page 2 of 2: Chair’s Signature: ……………………………………………. Date...................... |